

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 10/632,682 | 07/31/2003 | Chaitan Khosla | 286002022900 | 2700 |
| 25225 | 7590 03/04/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP | | | SAIDHA, TEKCHAND | |
| SUITE 500 | Y CENTRE DRIVE | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| SAN DIEGO, | CA 92130-2332 | | 1652 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|---|-----|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/632,682 | KHOSLA ET AL. | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | The MAIL INC DATE of this communication of | Tekchand Saidha | 1652 | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply | pears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | |
| THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoper of the property of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | . 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tiply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dad will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDON | mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | n. |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)⊠ | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21. | June 2004. | | |
| | | is action is non-final. | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allows | | | s |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4 | .53 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 5) 6) 7) | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraware Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | awn from consideration. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the Examin | er. | | |
| = | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac | | Examiner. | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | • | d). |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in CPCT Rule 17.2(a)). | ion No ed in this National Stage | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | |
| | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | |
| 3) Inform | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | ate Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| 0.0 | rodemark Office | · | | |

NZ-

Application/Control Number: 10/632,682

Art Unit: 1652

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **desosamine** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- II. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing cladinose as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- III. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing mycaminose as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- IV. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **oleandrose** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar , classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- V. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **forosamine** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar , classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- VI. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **daunosamine** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- VII. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **mycarose** as the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/632,682

Art Unit: 1652

diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.

- VIII. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **ascarylose** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- IX. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **rhamnose** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- X. Claims 1-20 (all-in-part), drawn to a recombinant E. coli host cell containing an expression system for producing **mycosamine** as the diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, classified in class 435, subclass 252.33.
- 2. Each of the Inventions I-X are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions pertain to E. coli host cells transformed with a different gene cluster for the production of a specific diphosphate 6-deoxy-sugar, as indicated in the respective groups. Therefore, each of the groups is distinct from the other not only in the host cell construct involving distinct gene cluster, but also in the sugar produced by the cell.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Carolyn A. Favorito on February 28, 2005, to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 1652

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tekchand Saidha whose telephone number is (571) 272 0940. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30 am 5.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ponnathapu Achutamurthy can be reached on (571) 272 0928. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tekchand Saidha

Iksaidha.

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1652

Recombinant Enzymes, 02A65 Remsen Bld.

400 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314

Telephone: (571) 272-0940

February 28, 2005